March 23, 1974

Dear Harold,

Thanks for your mailings of 2/26 and 3/21. Enclosed is my letter of March 6 to Lesar, which you have already seen. I do think it is worthwhile to get this withheld document from the Archives; it should be easy, if done by someone who won't make the Archives suspicious enough to rescreen everything they see, who won't have Marion Johnson staring over his shoulder, and who is familiar enough with Archives procedures to get out with at least a transcription of the document. The second withheld document was described in my letter of 2/20 to Jim; I may not have sent you a copy. It is an interview of Mrs. Connell about Odio. I understand that you and Jim are very busy, and there is no real rush on these, but when it is done it should be done right.

Also enclosed are my notes on changes made in the Odio deposition before it was printed. For your Odio file.

I have finished annotating my copy of the Incoming Mail Log, Inventory Entry 3. I could make you a copy (2-on-1 only) (for the annotations) for about $4. At this price I think it would be a worthwhile research tool. A lot of the letters are not well described, and impossible to find, but you might find some leads. Let me know if you want a copy. Since I haven't heard from Bob or the CTIA for months, I am not writing to offer them a copy, but you can mention this to Bob or Jim if you think of it.

As you requested, I checked the Berkeley Public Library, which has quite a few city directories; they have none for Mexico City. The U.C. library has no city directories at all, I think. Both would have Mexico City phone books. I recall that the Library of Congress has quite a good collection of city directories, and the publisher, who I think is in D.C., has a collection available.

Re my request to the FBI for the possibly intercepted "L.H." letter to the Militant: my request was denied in a way that suggests that some relevant records do exist. I have appealed and will let you know what happens.

Thanks for having Jim send me the legal papers, and the Cointelpro documents. This is useful stuff. I may have seen Jim on the NBC story on the Ray hearing - I'm not sure - but there was not a word in the S.F. newspaper.

Your 3/10 to me mentions my reference to "sheets you say we should all have. If I do not have them I would like to." I can't tell what you are referring to, so unless you want to recheck the correspondence, it will have to pass.

Your 3/21: as far as I can tell, the person you desribe (in N.Y?) is nobody I know or know of. I don't think I have any research to be done in N.Y. Thanks anyhow.

You mentioned (3/21/74 ltr) Raznikov. I have the article he published out here, but I have never heard of him; Peter Scott recalled the name but does not know him. Don Freed is on the lecture circuit here again; he has discovered CD 631 (in the R73 file).

Sincerely,

Paul

PLH